**TABLE 15-1: FACULTY SUFFICIENCY AND QUALIFICATIONS SUMMARY FOR THE MOST RECENTLY COMPLETED NORMAL ACADEMIC YEAR (RE: Standards 5 and 15)1**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Faculty Portfolio** | | | **Faculty**  **Sufficiency** | | **Normal Professional**  **Responsibilities3** | **Percent of Time Devoted to**  **Mission for Each Faculty**  **Qualification Group5** | | | | | **Brief Description of Basis for Qualification (Enter brief quantitative and/or qualitative information corresponding to the school’s criteria for each category.)** |
| **Faculty Member’s Name**  **(List individually in sections reflecting the school’s faculty organizational structure and/or discipline (e.g., departments and research groups)1** | **Date of First Appointment to the**  **School** | **Highest Degree, Year Earned** | **Participating Faculty**  **Productivity (P)2** | **Supporting Faculty Productivity**  **(S)2** | **Scholarly Academic (SA)4** | **Practice Academic (PA)4** | **Scholarly Practitioner (SP)4** | **Instructional Practitioner (IP)4** | **Other (O)4** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Faculty Sufficiency Indicators1:  • Overall: P/(P+S) > 75%  • By discipline, location, delivery mode, or program: P/(P+S) > 60% | | | | |  | Faculty Qualifications Indicators1:  • Minimum SA: (SA)/(SA +PA + SP + IP +O) > 40%  • Minimum SA + PA + SP: (SA + PA + SP)/(SA + PA + SP + IP + O) >60%  • Minimum SA + PA + SP + IP: (SA + PA + SP + IP)/(SA + PA + SP + IP + O) >90% | | | | | |

1. This summary information is useful in assisting the peer review team in its initial assessment of alignment with Standards 5 and 15. The summary information allows the team to effectively focus its in-depth review of individual faculty vitae or other documents supporting the conclusions presented in the table. List all faculty contributing to the mission of the school including participating and supporting faculty, graduate students who have formal teaching responsibilities, and administrators holding faculty rank. For faculty not engaged in teaching, leave columns 4 and 5 (Faculty Sufficiency) blank. Faculty who left during the time frame represented in the table should not be included. Faculty members who joined the school for any part of the time frame are to be included. The school must explain the “normal academic year” format/schedule. Peer review teams may request documentation for additional years; for individual terms; or by programs, location, delivery mode, and/or discipline.
2. The measure of “teaching productivity” must reflect the operations of the business school, e.g., student credit hours (SCHs), European Credit Transfer Units (ECTUs), contact hours, individual courses, modules, or other designations that are appropriately indicative of the teaching contributions of each faculty member. Concurrence of the metric must be reached with the peer review team early in the review process. If a faculty member has no teaching responsibilities, he or she must be listed and reflected in the qualifications part of the table.
3. Indicate the normal professional responsibilities of each faculty member using the following guide: UT for undergraduate teaching; MT for master’s level teaching; DT for doctoral level teaching/mentoring; ADM for administration; RES for research; ED for executive education; SER for other service and outreach responsibilities. A faculty member may have more than one category assigned. Individuals who teach only in executive education programs should not be listed in this table.
4. For faculty qualifications based on engagement activities, faculty members may be Scholarly Academic (SA), Practice Academic (PA), Scholarly Practitioner (SP), Instructional Practitioner (IP), or Other (O). Faculty members should be assigned one of these designations based on the school’s criteria for initial qualifications and continuing engagement activities that support currency and relevance in the teaching field and to support other mission components. Faculty may be assigned in more than one category, but must be listed only once. Doctoral students who have obtained ABD status are considered SA or PA (depending on the nature of the doctoral degree) for 3 years. Faculty who have earned a doctoral degree will be considered SA or PA (depending on the nature of the doctoral degree) for 5 years from the date the degree is awarded. The “Other” category should be used for those individuals holding a faculty title but whose qualifications do not meet the criteria established by the school for SA, PA, SP, or IP status.
5. The “percent of time devoted to mission” reflects each faculty member’s contributions to the school’s overall mission during the period of evaluation. Reasons for less than 100 percent might include part-time employment, shared appointment with another academic unit, or other assignments that make the faculty member partially unavailable to the school. A full-time faculty member’s percent of time devoted to mission is 100 percent. For doctoral students who have formal teaching duties, the percent of time devoted to mission should reflect their teaching duties only and not any other activities associated with their roles as a student, e.g., work on a dissertation. For example, a doctoral student who teaches one class over the normal academic year and a part-time faculty member whose responsibilities are limited to the same level of activity should be assigned the same “percent of time devoted to mission.”